



2025:PHHC:113410-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CWP-3420-2019

Date of Decision: 26.08.2025

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

LAL SINGH CHAUHAN AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Arvind Moudgil, Senior Panel Counsel, (Through V.C.)
with Ms. Isha Bhukal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 04.07.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, by which, the petitioners have been directed to reconsider and decide the claim of the respondents for grant of benefit of financial upgradation under the MACP Scheme and fix their pay/pension accordingly, on the ground that the same is perverse.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view that the issue whether the promotion, which has been given after the passing of the written test, has to be treated as direct appointment, has already been decided by the competent Court of law and even in the impugned order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench,



Chandigarh, the only direction given is to decide the representation, appropriate order on the representation of the respondents will be passed keeping in view the settled principle of law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the said order will be conveyed to the respondents.

3. Keeping in view the above, as prayed for, the present writ petition is disposed of as having not been pressed any further.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

August 26, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No